



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,111	08/18/2003	Vipul B. Patel	61575-1025	3323

7590 01/28/2009
Alex L. Yip / Brandon N. Sklar
Kaye Scholer LLP
425 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10022

EXAMINER

HUERTA, ALEXANDER Q

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2427

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

01/28/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/643,111		PATEL ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	ALEXANDER Q. HUERTA		2427	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Alexander Huerta. (3) Brandon Sklar.

(2) Scott Beliveau. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 23 January 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,4,8-10.

Identification of prior art discussed: Ellis.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed distinctions between prior art of record. Also, made suggestions to clarify claim language, however no agreement was made. Further search and consideration shall be provided pending an official response.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/Scott Beliveau/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2427
--	--